

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/741,406	EDWARDS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak. (3) _____.

(2) Cheng Ko. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2007

Time: 1:00pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 1st paragraph

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner noted that all the claims had been amended to remove subject matter not supported by the specification except for dependent claim 11. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to correct the claim.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)